The purpose of the Routing Matrix is to answer this QUESTION, "If a car is here and it needs to go to there, where do I send it NEXT?"

If two places are next to each other and connected by track, the answer is simple. Just send it directly there.
But two places are not always so close. As your track network gets more complex, you may find more and more places where can't get there from here (sometimes because the train the car is on is not authorized to go on the track to that place). As you create your Routing Matrix, you will answer the QUESTION from each place on your railroad to each other place. Using our example VIA names, here is what the initial work copy of our matrix would look like for that simple arrangement.

| If a car is at | $1^{\text {st }}$ destination <br> (put it on a train to) | $2^{\text {nd }}$ destination | $3^{\text {rd }}$ destination | Final Destination (and it needs to go to) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OCEAN CITY | CENTER | >>===----------->>> | >>===----------->>> | CENTER |
| OCEAN CITY | SUNSET | >>primary route--> | >>primary route--> | SUNSET |
| OCEAN CITY | CENTER | SUNSET | >>alternate route-> | SUNSET |
| OCEAN CITY | CENTER | HIGH | >>===----------->>> | HIGH |
| CENTER | OCEAN CITY | >>===----------->>> | >>===---------->>> | OCEAN CITY |
| CENTER | SUNSET | >>===---------->>> | >>===---------->>> | SUNSET |
| CENTER | HIGH | >>===----------->>> | >>===----------->>> | HIGH |
| SUNSET | CENTER | >>===----------->>> | >>===---------->>> | CENTER |
| SUNSET | OCEAN CITY | >>primary route--> | >>primary route--> | OCEAN CITY |
| SUNSET | CENTER | OCEAN CITY | >>alternate route-> | OCEAN CITY |
| SUNSET | CENTER | HIGH | >>===----------->>> | HIGH |
| HIGH | OCEAN CITY | >>===----------->>> | >>===----------->>> | OCEAN CITY |
| HIGH | CENTER | >>===---------->>> | >>===---------->>> | CENTER |
| HIGH | SUNSET | >>===---------->>> | >>===---------->>> | SUNSET |

As your railroad becomes more complex, you may need a $4^{\text {th }}$ or $5^{\text {th }}$ destination, especially to show all of your alternate routings.

Why do we want to put in all these intermediate destinations and alternate routes? Also, to help us understand our railroad. But primarily to make sure that we didn't forget anything. However, we don't need these extra work version columns in the final version of the matrix. Why not? Look at the entry for a car at OCEAN CITY that needs to go to HIGH. The matrix tells us to put it on a train to CENTER, its first destination from where it will then be sent to HIGH, its second destination. As HIGH is also its final destination, we need no entry in the third destination column.

But, now look at the entry for a car at CENTER that needs to go to HIGH. That entry shows us to put the car on a train to HIGH. Well, that is an identical "answer" to our routing question that we found by looking at the second destination column under the OCEAN CITY to HIGH entry. So, if we get the car to CENTER, we really no longer need to refer to the second destination on that row of the matrix, but instead, we can jump down to the CENTER entry and continue from there.

The same logic applies to alternate routings. Look at the entry for a car at OCEAN CITY that needs to go to SUNSET by its alternate routing. The matrix tells us to put it on a train it CENTER, its first destination from where it will then be sent to SUNSET, its second destination. But, now look at the entry for a car at CENTER that needs to go to SUNSET. That entry shows us to put the car on a train to SUNSET. Well, that is again an identical "answer" to our routing question that we found by looking at the second destination column under the OCEAN CITY to SUNSET entry. So, as before, if we get the car to CENTER, we really no longer need to refer to the second destination on that alternate routing row of the matrix, but instead, we can jump down to the CENTER entry and continue from there.

After making sure that you did not omit anything, you can now reformat your matrix and eliminate all but the first destination column. Let's also swap the order of the columns so that you can read the table as an English language sentence. Your matrix would then look like this.

| If a car is at | and it needs to go to | put it on a train to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OCEAN CITY | CENTER | CENTER |
| OCEAN CITY | SUNSET | SUNSET |
| OCEAN CITY | HIGH | CENTER |
|  |  | OCEAN CITY |
| CENTER | OCEAN CITY | SUNSET |
| CENTER | SUNSET | HIGH |
| CENTER | HIGH |  |
|  |  | CENTER |
| SUNSET | CENTER | OCEAN CITY |
| SUNSET | OCEAN CITY | CENTER |
| SUNSET | HIGH |  |
| HIGH | OCEAN CITY | CENTER |
| HIGH | CENTER | CENTER |
| HIGH | SUNSET | CENTER |

We can now further reformat this matrix into a summary form so that it looks like this.

| If a car is at | and it needs to go to | put it on a train to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OCEAN CITY | CENTER <br> HIGH | CENTER |
|  | SUNSET | SUNSET |
|  | OCEAN CITY | OCEAN CITY |
|  | SUNSET | SUNSET |
|  | HIGH | HIGH |
| SUNSET | CENTER <br> HIGH | CENTER |
|  | OCEAN CITY | OCEAN CITY |
|  | OCEAN CITY <br> CENTER <br> SUNSET | CENTER |

The same information is present, but it is consolidated. You can cut this matrix into four pieces, call each piece a Yard Classification Guide, and post one for reference at each VIA location. If you are staging at OCEAN CITY, then looking at the entries, you can build trains for CENTER using cars routed VIA either CENTER or HIGH (though, I would hope that you block the CENTER cars and the HIGH cars separately).

What happened to the alternate routings? Be aware that they exist in case you want to use them. I have omitted them here because most railroads won't need them, but if you want, you can make an additional summary matrix that includes alternate routings.

